

# Alexandria Gazette

VOLUME LXVIII.

ALEXANDRIA, VA. THURSDAY EVENING, JANUARY 17, 1867.

NUMBER 15.

**ALEXANDRIA-GAZETTE**  
AND VIRGINIA ADVERTISER.  
PUBLISHED DAILY BY  
EDGAR SNOWDEN.  
OFFICE-GAZETTE BUILDING, NO. 70, PRINCE ST.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION—IN ADVANCE:  
One Year, \$5.00  
Six Months, \$3.00  
Three Months, \$1.50  
One Month, .75

**ALEXANDRIA GAZETTE**  
AND VIRGINIA ADVERTISER.  
(FOR THE COUNTRY)  
IS PUBLISHED, REGULARLY,  
ON MONDAYS, WEDNESDAYS AND FRIDAYS,  
AT \$6 PER ANNUM—(PAYABLE IN ADVANCE.)

TERMS OF ADVERTISING.  
One square, eight lines, one dollar, for the first insertion and twenty cents for each subsequent one. Liberal terms made for yearly advertisements. Extra lines, will be charged for.  
All communications, of a personal character, will be charged for.  
All communications must be accompanied by the names of the authors, and rejected communications cannot be returned.

## SELECTED.

### Shopping.

It is a mistaken notion to suppose that ladies always go "shopping," for the purpose of making purchases. Pleasure, amusement, exercise—needed alike for their physical good and their mental comfort—the desire to see and be seen, to gossip a little with their female friends, and to chat with the "handsome young gentleman behind the counter"—not unreluctantly take them on around of shopping.

Let us look into one of our dry goods houses on a bright pleasant morning. Every thing looks neat and inviting. The goods on the shelves are systematically arranged, counters well dusted, show-cases and windows tastefully decorated with glittering finery and dazzling with radiant colors. The "knight of the yardstick" has just stepped in from breakfast. Look at the stout ensemble, "made up regardless of expense," of the gentleman whose business it is to wrap up goods, and measure off cambric and calico, for angels in crinolines. His coat is the very latest style, his lines faultless, his neck-tie perfect, tooth polished like a mirror, every hair on his head in its right place, the ends of his carefully brushed and well oiled mustache stand out at the proper angle, and from "top to toe" he is the beau ideal of a dry goods salesman. A lady enters; with Chesterfieldian politeness and grace he salutes her and awaits her orders. An article is called for and quickly transferred to the counter, but before a word can be said in praise of its beauty and cheapness, another, and still another, and now three or four together, the house is alive with tilters and tilters, and the salesman's ears are greeted with calls for a dozen articles at one and the same time. Soon the shelves are bare, and the counter piled with silks and satins, laces and laces, berages, and bobbinets, muslins, poplins, challoes, cambrics, calicoes, corsets, hoop skirts, kerchiefs, slippers, balmorals, cuffs, collars, and a score of other articles needed or used for feminine adornment.

And now, amid a chattering equalled only by that of an aviary, begins the work of inspection. Each article is examined—some hastily, others closely. This is too common, that too dear; this rather light; that just a shade too dark; this too gay, that too sombre. "Mr. Smith," says one, "offered me this very article at five cents less in the yard"; and Mr. Brown, says another, "has a piece of this goods much prettier and cheaper"; and so they go on, pulling and hauling at this and that thing, praising one, finding fault with another, passing judgment upon all. A few purchases are made—a paper of pins, a bunch of tape, a yard or two of ribbon, and one by one, in a body, as if moved by a common impulse, the "dear creatures" turn from the counter, and with a slight nod of the head and a short "good morning," vanish out of the door.

What a change comes over our salesman now! There is dark frown upon his face, and naughty words issue from those lips so lately wreathed with pleasant smiles. He casts a hasty glance at the contents of the drawer increased by the addition of a few dilapidated fractional notes, proceeds to refold his goods and restore them to their places, to be again overhauled by the next comer, and as he works he growls and grumbles, and mutters something about "trouble for nothing," "women hard to please," "better stay at home," and so on.

### Spanish Women.

From a late number of "Odds and Ends," we make an extract which draws a very happy crayon of Spanish women:—"The women, perhaps, are the best portion of the nation; not highly educated or intelligent, for in this respect, they are yet very far behind other European nations, but perfectly free from all affectation, of most frank and agreeable manners, warm, affectionate friends, generous; not, we are sorry to add, 'truthful or full of integrity,' but charitable, and to a certain extent, humane. Their beauty and grace have been very much overrated. The handsomest women are to be found on the shores of the Mediterranean and in Andalusia; the Castilian women are, generally, plain; their complexions are bad, and very soon become yellow and dry, to which, perhaps, nothing tends so much as their inordinate use of powder and paint. Much has been said, also, about the grace of their walk. In Andalusia one sees not seldom the graceful, easy swinging peculiar to warm climates; but, as a rule, we are afraid it has died out, it indeed, it ever existed as a national peculiarity; and the Madrid women, more particularly, walk abominably; perhaps this may be caused by the fashion now in vogue for wearing high-pointed heels; placed as they are almost in the real centre of the foot, the foot is in an unnatural and contracted position, and all elasticity of tread must be destroyed. As we believe their beauty and grace to be very much overrated, so we believe their morality has been very much underrated. In spite of the bad example of a court which has earned for itself an unhappy pre-eminence among the nations of Europe, the Spanish women are, we believe, much more chaste than they generally get credit for, and instances of unfaithfulness in married life are more rare than is generally supposed by foreigners. They are capable of the warmest and most devoted attachment to their husbands and family; and where this is the case, it is needless to say gallantry cannot find room."

## ATTENTION!

The unexpected success which has attended my business since removal to  
NO. 68, KING STREET,  
has induced me to keep arrangements with view to keep constantly up with the latest novelties in  
DRESS TRIMMINGS,  
FANCY GOODS,  
NOTIONS, &c.,  
all of which will be purchased at lowest market value, and sold at prices which cannot fail to please.  
CHARLES W. GREEN,  
Nov 26-1f No. 68, King st.

## COURT NOTICES.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 15, 1866, Robert I. Edelin, M. German, Thomas McCormick, administrator of Elias Harrison, deceased, James E. McGraw, Willis Henderson, Julia Wheatley, and Mary Wheatley, on behalf of themselves, and other stockholders of the Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria as may join in and contribute to the expenses of this suit, complainants, vs. Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria, William Arnold, David Appich, Ewell C. Atwell, N. W. Burchell, Maria Buchanan, administratrix of R. E. Buchanan, deceased, J. H. DeVaughn, A. W. Eastchurch, Newton Harper, Luther D. Harrison, H. W. Hardy, John Jones, Margaret Kinzer, with the will annexed of L. Louis Kinzer, Hugh Latham, J. W. Nalls, C. L. Richards, R. W. Robinson, John Summers, Stephen Swain, John L. Pascoe, Samuel Beach, D. L. Smoot, administrators of the estate of George E. McGraw, deceased, George H. Markell, and Robert I. Edelin, Trustees of the Mechanical Building Association of Alexandria, defendants.**

The decree of the November term, 1866, directs that the papers in the above cause be referred to W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, to enquire into and state a full and complete account of the transactions of said Building Association; the condition of the accounts between the Association and its members; the amount due on the several deeds of trust executed for the benefit of the said Association; the amounts due from the said Association to its members, and the amounts due from its members to the said Association, with instructions to the said Master to publish for four successive weeks, the objects of the reference in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, in lieu of personal service upon the parties interested.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.  
Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree, of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 24th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,  
Master in Chancery of the  
Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, November term, 1866, William E. Moore vs. S. G. Miller.—In chancery.**  
The Court doth adjudge, order and decree, that M. D. Ball, one of the Master-Commissioners of said County, do take an account of the liens upon the lands of the defendant in the bill and proceedings mentioned, how due, and their respective priorities, together with all debts due from said defendant and to whom, and that said M. D. Ball, do ascertain the annual and semi-annual value of said lands, and for this purpose that he do convene, by advertisement, all the creditors of said S. G. Miller, in some newspaper printed in the city of Alexandria, which advertisement shall be regarded as equivalent to personal service, and that he do report in writing to the Court, at the next term of this Court, with such matters as he may deem pertinent, or that any party interested may require.

Extract—Teste. W. B. GOODING, Clerk.

Parties interested in the above decree are hereby notified that I will proceed to execute the provisions of the said decree, at my office, at Fairfax C. H., on WEDNESDAY, the 24th day of February next.

M. DULANY BALL,  
Comm'r in Chancery.

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and Daniel Palmer, copartners in trade, and the name and style of Studds & Palmer, complainants, against David P. Bodish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon and A. Grant Childs, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.**

In chancery and upon an attachment, the object of this suit is to recover from the defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$3,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due and belonging to the said Bodish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.

The defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance, and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15-1aw4w

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 7th day of January, 1867, James M. Wallace, complainant, against Solomon Stover, Charles M. Price and William F. Key, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.**  
The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant, Solomon Stover, the sum of \$2,000, with interest from the 3rd of June, 1861, and to subject his interest in certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, situated on the north intersection of Duke and Payne streets, and known as the Jail property, to the payment of the same.

The defendants, Solomon Stover and Wm. F. Key, not having entered their appearance, and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

John M. Orr, P. Q. Jan 15-1aw4w

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Alexandria County, on the 15th day of January, 1867, Henry Studds and George Auld, Jr., copartners in trade, under the name and style of Studds & Auld, complainants, against David P. Bodish, Alexander Mills, Martin S. Sheldon and A. Grant Childs, joint partners, under the name and style of Bodish, Mills & Co., and the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, defendants.—In chancery and upon an attachment.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., the sum of \$10,000, and to subject any bonds, funds or effects, in the hands of the defendant, the Alexandria and Fredericksburg Railway Company, due and belonging to the said Bodish, Mills & Co., to the payment of the same.

The defendants, Bodish, Mills & Co., not having entered their appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

W. Arthur Taylor, P. Q. Jan 15-1aw4w

## COURT NOTICES.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 17, 1866, Alvina V. Maxwell, complainant, vs. George W. Maxwell, Walter T. Gillingham and Ella C. Gillingham, his wife; Benjamin Chase and Mary Chase, his wife; Franklin Maxwell, Carroll Maxwell, Richard L. Maxwell, Willard Maxwell, Agnes Maxwell, Alice Maxwell, Catharine Maxwell, and Albert Stuart, defendants.**

The decree in the above cause directs that one of the Masters in Chancery of the Court, do ascertain the time of the death of George W. Maxwell, the time of his death.

2d. The value of his personal assets, which have or are yet to come into the hands of his executor.

3d. The real estate of which he died seized, and its value.

4th. The liens on said real estate, their amount and respective priorities.

The said decree further directs that the said Master shall give notice, by publication, in one of the newspapers published in Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, of the time and place, when and where he shall proceed to execute the said decree; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 4, 1867.

The parties interested in the decree, of which the foregoing is an abstract, are notified that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 4th day of March, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,  
Master in Chancery,  
Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 15, 1866, "The Potomac Building Fund Association of Alexandria," complainant, vs. Gilbert S. Miner, J. C. Taylor, George W. Brant, J. Lewis Evans, Woodward M. A. Edelin, N. W. Burchell, J. H. DeVaughn, J. O. Sullivan, John L. Pascoe, C. Noland, John Arnold, George W. Davis, John T. Hill, William B. Nalls, John Summers, C. B. Shirley, Margaret Kafferty and John Lally, defendants.**

The decree of November term, 1866, directs that the papers in the above cause be referred to W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery of said Court, to enquire into and state a full and complete account of the transactions of said Association and its members; the amounts due from the Association; the amounts due from its members to the said Association, with instructions to the said Master to publish for four successive weeks the objects of the reference in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, in lieu of personal service upon the parties interested, &c.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.

Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 24th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,  
Master in Chancery of the  
Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 15th, 1866, George E. McGraw, complainant, vs. James Burley, deceased, and Alice and Maria Burley, infants, defendants.**

It is ordered and decreed that W. C. Yeaton, Master in Chancery, of said Court, do state and settle the account of the defendant, C. Burley, Administrator of James Burley, deceased, and the said Alice and Maria Burley, infants, and the annual and semi-annual value of the real estate of which said James Burley, died seized; the judgments against the decedent and their priorities, and the creditors of the estate, with instructions to said Master to publish for four successive weeks, in some newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, reference, which publication shall be equivalent to personal service of notice upon the parties interested.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.

The parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, are notified that I have fixed upon WEDNESDAY, the 27th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the said decree.

W. C. YEATON,  
Master in Chancery,  
Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ALEXANDRIA COUNTY, November 23d, 1866, E. C. Fitzhugh, administrator of M. C. Fitzhugh, deceased, complainant, vs. A. Frebey and William Shreve, defendants.**

And the Court doth further order and decree that one of the Commissioners of this Court do ascertain whether there are any liens and their amount against the real estate of the said A. Frebey and William Shreve, and before taking such account he shall give notice of the time and place of taking the same, by publication, in some convenient newspaper, for four weeks, which publication shall be equivalent to personal notice.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, Jan. 2, 1867.

Notice is hereby given to all parties interested in the decree of which the foregoing is an abstract, that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 25th day of February, 1867, at my office, in the city of Alexandria, when and where I shall proceed to execute the same.

W. C. YEATON,  
Master in Chancery,  
Circuit Court of Alexandria Co.

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Charles P. M. Johnston, plaintiff, against Fritz Bender, defendant.—In assumpsit.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$225.00, with interest from the 1st day of January, 1865, till paid, due by the defendant to the plaintiff.

The defendant, P. Bender, not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

Brent & Wattle, P. Q. Jan 5-1aw4w

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John W. Burke and Arthur Herbert, late partners under the firm name of Burke & Herbert, complainants, vs. John T. Price, defendant.—In chancery.**

The object of this suit is to subject certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, belonging to the defendant, to the payment of a judgment by them obtained against the said defendant in the County Court for Alexandria County, at the April term, 1866, for \$300, with interest from November 28th, 1860, till paid, and the costs, \$7.46.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

## COURT NOTICES.

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John P. Clarke, plaintiff, against Joseph Partridge, James H. Pinchard and James S. Warren, partners, trading under the style and firm of Partridge, Pinchard & Warren, defendants.—In case.**

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendants, damages to the amount of \$300, at the least, with interest thereon from the 8th day of July, 1864, till paid, due from the said defendants to the said plaintiff.

Who the defendants not having entered their appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that they are not residents of this State, it is ordered that the said defendants appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect their interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Samuel F. Gregory and Joseph Paul, joint partners, trading under the firm and style of Gregory & Paul, plaintiffs, against George B. Alexander, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$152.44, with interest from the 27th day of October, 1866, till paid, due from the said plaintiff to the said defendant.

Who the defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Edmund Hunt, plaintiff, against George E. White, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.**

The object of this suit is to recover of the defendant the sum of \$78.80, with interest from the 1st day of September, 1861, till paid, due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff.

The defendant, George E. White, not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Chas. B. Williams and James D. Arnest, copartners, trading under the firm name of Williams & Arnest, plaintiffs, against George E. Bryan, Samuel Adams and Douglas Gregory, late copartners trading under the firm name of Bryan, Adams & Co., defendant.—In case.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendants the sum of \$325.47, with interest on \$55.68, from February 2d, 1861, and on \$268.79, from February 8th, 1861, and on \$244.51, the residue thereof, from February 24th, 1861, till paid due by the defendants to the plaintiffs.

The defendant, Samuel R. Adams, not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John Laphan, plaintiff, against Adeline K. Evans, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$30.50, with interest from the 16th of July, 1860, till paid, due by the plaintiff to the defendant.

The defendant not having entered her appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that she is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect her interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John W. Burke and Arthur Herbert, late partners under the firm name of Burke & Herbert, complainants, vs. John T. Price, defendant.—In chancery.**

The object of this suit is to subject certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, belonging to the defendant, to the payment of a judgment by them obtained against the said defendant in the County Court for Alexandria County, at the April term, 1866, for \$300, with interest from November 28th, 1860, till paid, and the costs, \$7.46.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John W. Burke and Arthur Herbert, late partners under the firm name of Burke & Herbert, complainants, vs. John T. Price, defendant.—In chancery.**

The object of this suit is to subject certain real estate in the city of Alexandria, belonging to the defendant, to the payment of a judgment by them obtained against the said defendant in the County Court for Alexandria County, at the April term, 1866, for \$300, with interest from November 28th, 1860, till paid, and the costs, \$7.46.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, John W. Burke and Arthur Herbert, late partners under the firm name of Burke & Herbert, complainants, vs. John T. Price, defendant.—In chancery.**

## COURT NOTICES.

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, November term, 1866, Mary P. Roberts, by her next friend, A. Beale, vs. Lindley M. Roberts.—In chancery.**

The Court doth adjudge order and decree, that M. D. Ball, one of the Commissioners of this Court, do take an account of the liens upon the lands of the defendant, Albert Orcutt, in the bill and proceedings mentioned, how due, and their respective priorities, together with all debts due from said defendant, and for this purpose that he do convene, by advertisement, all the creditors of said Albert Orcutt, which advertisement shall be regarded as equivalent to personal service, and that said Commissioner do ascertain the annual and semi-annual value of said lands, and for this purpose that he do report in writing to the Court, at the next term of this Court, with such matters as he may deem pertinent, or that any party interested may require.

W. B. GOODING, Clerk. Jan 15-1aw4w

**COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.**

**FAIRFAX COURT HOUSE, Jan. 14, 1867.**

Parties interested are hereby notified that I have fixed upon MONDAY, the 18th day of February next, as the time for executing the provisions of the decree, at my office.

M. DULANY BALL,  
Comm'r in Chancery.

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Joseph Broders and John Lannon, joint partners, trading under the name and style of J. Broders & Co., plaintiffs, against George E. White, defendant.—In assumpsit and upon an attachment.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$80.03, with interest from November 17th, 1866, till paid, due to the plaintiffs by the defendant.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this order be forthwith inserted in the Alexandria Gazette, a newspaper published in the city of Alexandria, once a week for four successive weeks, and posted at the front door of the Court House of this County. A copy—Teste.

J. TACEY, Clerk. Jan 5-1aw4w

**Brent & Wattle, P. Q.**

**VIRGINIA.—At rules held in the Clerk's Office of the County Court of Alexandria County, on the 31st day of December, 1866, Minerva Howard, plaintiff, against John F. Rodes, defendant.—In debt and upon an attachment.**

The object of this suit is to recover from the defendant the sum of \$300, with interest from the 1st day of July, 1866, till paid, due to the plaintiff by the defendant.

The defendant not having entered his appearance and given security according to the act of Assembly and the rules of this Court, and it appearing by affidavit that he is not a resident of this State, it is ordered that the said defendant appear here within one month after due publication of this order, and do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit; and that a copy of this